CHAIR JAMES: Any other business? If not,
what I'd like to do is to move to the tabled motions
from yesterday, go through them one at a time, and see
if we've been able to come up with language or if
there's any decisions that have been made for tabling.
Let's start with 3.3. We tabled that
yesterday saying that it would come up later and that
was handled. Are commissioners satisfied with

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LANNI: Yes.

CHAIR JAMES: Okay, 3.6. Commissioner Bible was going to work on that.

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Yes, Madame Chair. I did draft some language. The language would indicate this:

"The Commission with urges states lotteries to not allow instant games that are simulations of live card and other casino type games. Generally, the outcome of an instant game is determined at the point of sale by the lottery terminal that issues the ticket."

CHAIR JAMES: That's a lot.

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

in

it

COMMISSIONER DOBSON: You don't have that to pass out, do you? COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Ι have handwritten form, but you will probably not be able to read it. But you can try. CHAIR JAMES: Bill, would you read that one more time? COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Okay, the Commission urges --CHAIR JAMES: And please listen carefully. COMMISSIONER BIBLE: "The Commission urges states with lotteries to not allow instant games that are simulations of live card and other casino type games. Generally, the outcome of an instant game is

determined at the point of

terminal that issues the ticket."

And I think what you're after is a call to restrict those games that are instant games where the determination is made at the point of sale instead of a more classic lottery where you buy a ticket and, downstream, there's a draw of the winning number.

COMMISSIONER LANNI: I would second that

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

sale by the lottery

one, if that's a motion.

1

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chair.

CHAIR JAMES: Well, right now we have a motion, we have a second and we're ready for discussion.

Commissioner Loescher.

COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Yeah, Madame Chair, am I to understand then that what you're talking about is pull tabs? You're saying that you can't buy these things and get an instant win.

CHAIR JAMES: No.

COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: The language would lead you to believe that that would be the case, would you not?

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, a pull tab, the determination is made in the deck as the deck is assembled. So if you have a thousand pull tabs, for instance, and they insert one winning pull tab within that deck and then they start selling that deck, that winning ticket may be sold as number one or it may be sold as number thousand.

So if it's sold as number one, the other

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	999 people that buy the ticket have no chance of
2	winning. What we're talking about here is a game
3	where the outcome is determined by the computer
4	terminal itself and it replicates a casino card game
5	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: or another type
7	casino game.
8	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Okay, Madame
9	Chair, if that's the understanding, that he's not
10	speaking about pull tabs, he would have my vote.
11	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And pull tabs would
12	be at least in your environment, are Class II
13	gaming that are regulated by the Federal Government
14	and not by the states.
15	CHAIR JAMES: It has moved and seconded.
16	We've had discussion. Are you ready for the vote?
17	All in favor?
18	(Chorus of ayes.)
19	All opposed? Any abstentions?
	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I abstain. I just
20	
20	don't understand the issue very well, so I'm

1	need to understand the issue since it's your
2	recommendation.
3	CHAIR JAMES: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And I simply was
5	asked to draft your language.
6	CHAIR JAMES: It's a good thing.
7	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: So I would suggest
8	you hold it so Dr. Dobson can
9	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I abstain, I
10	abstain.
11	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, -
12	CHAIR JAMES: Well, Jim, it's really
13	important for let's ask the questions and get the
14	understanding. I think it's important to spend the
15	time on it.
16	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: The electronic
17	mechanics of that,
18	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: You want to prohibit
19	games that are simulations of card games and other
20	casino type games.
21	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Right.
22	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Okay, now the way

1	they do that is they have the win decision made by the
2	device that issues the ticket.
3	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Okay, all right.
4	All right.
5	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I think you're okay
6	on this, I really do.
7	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Aye.
8	(Laughter.)
9	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I wouldn't lead you
10	down the path on this one.
11	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Okay.
12	CHAIR JAMES: But on others, he may.
13	(Laughter.)
14	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: That was the
15	implication.
16	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Madame Chair, can I
17	ask that we add to our research recommendations the
18	question of whether the commissioners behave
19	differently when there's no television?
20	(Laughter.)
21	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, I noticed
22	everybody has their jackets on, which is you know,

which I find to be amazing.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIR JAMES: All right, 3.8 is the next one that I see that we need to revisit. Did someone take that one?

COMMISSIONER DOBSON: What number?

CHAIR JAMES: We just said we would revisit 3.8. "The Commission recommends that because states tend to become dependent on lottery revenues, states should explore creative alternatives to the lottery so that policy makers will have options to consider when and if there is the desire to reduce or eliminate the lottery."

COMMISSIONER LANNI: Could we have some examples, I guess, of these creative alternatives, raising the income tax or --

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Yeah, my view is this is beyond the ken of the Commission. I mean, we're -- I think the thrust of our recommendations about lotteries is perfectly obvious.

And if the states want to -- in view of all of these issues that we're raising, if the states think they should cut back on it, then I think they're

capable of figuring out their own budgets.

COMMISSIONER LEONE: I agree with Bill. I think this trivializes our criticism of lotteries.

Obviously if the state -- in fact, it reinforces the

myth that somehow the lottery -- it's so essential

that it requires some special act of imagination to

figure out an alternative.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

You can cut programs or raise other taxes, and states do that every year in one form or another.

And they do it if they want to reduce their alliance on lotteries, and they should.

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: We have a somewhat comparable recommendation that we adopted yesterday with respect to Indian reservations, but to me that one made sense because there are Indian reservations where the stream of income from gambling is the lion's share of the tribal income.

But that's not true in states. I think our record shows it's something like 2% or less of the average state budget, if my memory is right.

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: You're right. It's less than 5%, I believe.

## NEAL R. GROSS

COMMISSIONER LANNI: Madame Chair. CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Lanni. COMMISSIONER LANNI: With due to time, if there's no motion and no second, why are we discussing 5 this matter? 6 CHAIR JAMES: That's a good point. 3.9. The Commission tabled or passed this 8 one, asked to have the language worked on. You have 9 that in front of you now. 10 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: They just handed it 11 out. 12 CHAIR JAMES: It's in the yellow package, first sheet. 13 14 Is someone willing to offer that as a 15 motion? 16 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, having just read this, what you've done is, in the first part, 17 18 you've restricted legal gambling to those that are 19 21 years of age. And then in pari-mutuel over 20 facilities, you say that you want to restrict them from loitering, which, by implication, would mean that 21 22 they can be in every other gambling area other than

pari-mutuel. And so I think what you want to do is you want to craft it so that you cannot be -- if you're under 21 years of age, you cannot be involved in a 5 gambling transaction. That's a prohibition on being 6 involved in the transaction itself. can you loiter in an area where Nor 8 gambling activities take place. 9 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: In every facility, 10 not just --11 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: In every facility, in 12 every facility. 13 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I had the same 14 concern. 15 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, you can put the 16 law English language version of the term common 17 loitering. 18 CHAIR JAMES: Hanging out. 19 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Typically, in most 20 gaming environments, people under 21 can pass through 21 an area where gambling transactions occur. 22 simply can't "hang out."

1	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Well, the Nevada
2	Gaming Control Board, at least under Bill's direction,
3	and I think continuing, has been very aggressive.
4	It's fined operators large amounts of money on this
5	point, on the point of loitering near machines, for
6	example.
7	Even while they fined the Las Vegas
8	Hilton a half a million dollars because the people
9	standing in line for the Star Trek attraction with
10	their kids happened to be somewhat near some slot
11	machines.
12	CHAIR JAMES: So it reads at this point,
13	"The Commission recommends that all legal gambling
14	should be restricted to those who are at least 21
15	years of age and that" what follows? "Nor can"
16	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And that those that
17	are under 21 years of age
18	CHAIR JAMES: Be barred?
19	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: No, I don't think
20	it's a bar because there are cases where they have to
21	go through them.
22	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Those under 21 years

1	of age will not be allowed to loiter in areas where
2	gambling occurs.
3	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Occurs, yeah. And I
4	loiters is an understood term. Tough enforcement
5	term.
6	CHAIR JAMES: Is there a second for that?
7	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I second.
8	CHAIR JAMES: Ready for the question?
9	All in favor?
10	COMMISSIONER LANNI: I think we should
11	leave the word transactions because that's more
12	applicable also to pari-mutuel than just gambling.
13	It's more wagering. So if we left that word in, I
14	think it would be clearer. Gambling transactions are
15	both for casinos and for pari-mutuel.
16	CHAIR JAMES: Where gambling transactions
17	
18	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Occur.
19	CHAIR JAMES: occur.
20	3.9, all in favor?
21	(Chorus of ayes.)
22	Any opposed? Any abstentions?

1	That brings us to
2	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: 3.10.
3	CHAIR JAMES: 3.10.
4	Dr. Dobson, you were working on the
5	language on that one?
6	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: 3.10 is an effort to
7	combine that item with that one in 3.50, which deals
8	with a similar issue. And this is the language we're
9	recommending:
10	"The Commission recommends that states
11	should refuse to allow the introduction of casino
12	style gambling into pari-mutuel facilities for the
13	purpose of 'saving' a racetrack that the market has
14	determined no longer serves the community, or for the
15	purposes of competing with other forms of gambling."
16	CHAIR JAMES: I was just asking if that
17	language was printed anywhere. Would you read it one
18	more time, Jim, for us?
19	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Okay, you didn't
20	have a chance
21	CHAIR JAMES: That's fine.
22	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Yeah, okay.

"The Commission recommends that states should refuse to allow the introduction of casino style gambling into pari-mutuel facilities for the purpose of 'saving' a racetrack that the market has determined no longer serves the community, or for the purposes of competing with other forms of gambling."

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: A small point and then a larger point. The small point is that you may not want to make reference to racetracks. There are other kinds of pari-mutuel facilities that are not racetracks.

As an example, the State of Rhode Island's added slot machines, or their equivalent, to Jai Alai Frontons, which are also pari-mutuel facilities. So you may want to use the term pari-mutuel facility or something like that instead of racetrack.

But on a larger point, I would like to suggest that we refine that in either one of two ways. Either, as 3.50's original draft did, insert in both of those clauses either the word only or the word solely, or, that is, that they shouldn't do this only for the purpose of saving a pari-mutuel facility that

the market has determined is not viable anymore.

That would be one approach. Or add a sentence that says something like the Commission recognizes that a state may determine to do this as part of its overall gambling policy.

I don't want to create the impression that we're suggesting that racetracks should not be made into casino style facilities if it's for some other reason than solely the reason of saving it. I support the concept that we shouldn't -- that we should recommend against turning casinos -- or turning parimutuel facilities into casino style facilities just for the purpose of saving them.

I support that. But I don't want to imply that a state may not take that step as a part of its overall gambling policy.

Just as one example, a lot of people in California think that some of the expansion of gambling, whether it's through tribal gaming or some other way that is currently underway in California, ought to take place at places that already have gambling, namely pari-mutuel facilities.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	So if a state's going to expand gambling
2	anyway and decides that that's the place to do it on
3	the grounds that gambling has always been at
4	facilities like that, I think that's a perfectly valid
5	public policy decision.
6	I don't dispute the basic premise here, or
7	at least what I believe to be the basic premise, that
8	casino style gambling should not be added to pari-
9	mutuel facilities just to save that facility.
10	CHAIR JAMES: Jim, would you object to
11	solely being in the
12	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: How about the word
13	primarily?
14	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Fine.
15	CHAIR JAMES: Primary?
16	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: For the purpose
17	primarily of saving a racetrack?
18	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: That's fine.
19	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Yeah, well, I've got
20	pari-mutuel in the three words prior to that. I don't
21	want to repeat it.
22	Yeah, go ahead.

1	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Because you may
2	have a Jai Alai Fronton
3	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Yeah, I understand.
4	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: and you may want
5	to do the same thing. So
6	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: What's a synonym
7	then?
8	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: How about just
9	saving the facility?
10	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Okay, we've just
11	said pari-mutuel facilities. Let me say it again.
12	"The Commission recommends that states should refuse
13	to allow the introduction of casino style gambling
14	into pari-mutuel facilities for the purpose of
15	'saving' a facility."
16	CHAIR JAMES: Or saving those for the
17	sole purpose of
18	COMMISSIONER LANNI: For the sole purpose.
19	CHAIR JAMES: For the primary purpose of
20	saving those facilities.
21	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Primary.
22	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Yeah, I personally

have difficulties with that particular recommendation because I can think of circumstances where states have legalized slot machines.

For instance, Louisiana, which created slot routes throughout the state and legalized certain forms of river boat gambling and the racetracks, came in and made that very pitch, that they needed to have the same gambling availabilities in order to compete effectively.

And I think I would have some sympathy to that particular argument.

CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Lanni.

COMMISSIONER LANNI: I think that same argument is in California because it is apparent that Governor Davis will enter into compacts with the Native American tribes that will afford them, I think it's fair to say, the legitimate opportunity to operate slot machines.

There will be a hue and cry from the other legal forums in California, being the card clubs and the racetracks, that, in order to stay in business, they will have no choice but to have that form of

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

gambling.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I think that would be a terrible restraint on their ability to exist through causative factor of their own. It's separate а agreement that the governor will reach with the Native Americans, which I have no problem with, but I think it would be -- I can't support this particular program either because I think it would put the racetracks, the pari-mutuel facilities and the card clubs at a distinct disadvantage.

COMMISSIONER LEONE: I think we should perhaps accept the fact that we have a legitimate difference of view here. I support the proposal because -- precisely because of the arguments that Bill and Terry just used to oppose it.

And that is, I think that one of the -part of the slippery slope in gambling is I'm at a
competitive disadvantage, therefore I need X. Now I'm
at a -- well, he got X, I'm at a competitive
disadvantage, I need X and Y.

Yeah, that is real, and that's what we call chasing in my parlance. But in this case, I

1	think the Commission ought to oppose it. And I think
2	it goes beyond obviously I think it goes beyond
3	pari-mutuel facilities to communities and states
4	competing.
5	But on this one, I think this is fairly
6	carefully drawn now and applies only to and gives
7	good guidance to policy makers. Whether they take it
8	or not, we'll have to see.
9	CHAIR JAMES: At this point, it has not
10	been formally offered as a motion.
11	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I make that motion,
12	yes.
13	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Second.
14	CHAIR JAMES: It has been moved and
15	seconded. All in favor? Are you ready for the
16	COMMISSIONER LANNI: I'll move the
17	question.
18	CHAIR JAMES: You'll move the question?
19	All in favor?
20	(Chorus of ayes.)
21	All opposed?
22	(Chorus of no's.)

COMMISSIONER LEONE: Abstain. CHAIR JAMES: And one abstention. And I think the motion carries. COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I have 3.11 also. CHAIR JAMES: All right, 3.11. Commission 6 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: "The received testimony that convenience gambling such as 8 video poker terminals in neighborhood stores provide 9 fewer economic benefits and creates potentially greater social cost by making gambling more available 10 11 and accessible. 12 "Therefore, the Commission recommends that 13 with convenience gambling should adopt 14 moratorium on the further spread of convenience 15 gambling." 16 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Could we read it one more time, please? 17 18 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: "The Commission 19 received testimony that convenience gambling such as 20 video poker terminals in neighborhood stores provide 21 economic benefits and creates potentially 22 greater social cost by making gambling more available

and accessible.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

"Therefore, the Commission recommends that states with convenience gambling should adopt a moratorium on the further spread of convenience gambling."

COMMISSIONER LANNI: Friendly amendment.

I think the word "stores" should be replaced with

"outlets" --

COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LANNI: -- because you have potential truck stops that may not be arguably a store.

COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Right.

other amendments that I believe are also friendly.

One, even though it's only an example, I think that it might be more clear as to what we intend -- rather than limiting the example to video poker, to say electronic gambling devices because, you know, in 7-11's in Las Vegas, for example, there are slot machines usually, which is a minor point.

But I would also think, Jim, in addition

1	to saying if I heard you right, in addition to
2	saying states that already have convenience gambling
3	shouldn't expand it, shouldn't we also recommend that
4	states that don't have it shouldn't introduce it?
5	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Sure agree with
6	that.
7	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I thought you
8	might.
9	(Laughter.)
10	CHAIR JAMES: Jim, could you read that as
11	it exists now?
12	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I haven't finished
13	it, but "the Commission received testimony that
14	convenience gambling such as electronic devices in
15	neighborhood outlets provides fewer economic benefits
16	and creates potentially greater social cost by making
17	gambling more available and accessible.
18	"Therefore, the Commission recommends that
19	states with convenience gambling should adopt a
20	moratorium on the further spread of convenience
21	gambling and those states which" what?
22	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Which presently do

not have convenience gambling should not introduce it. 1 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Okay. COMMISSIONER LANNI: I would second that motion. 5 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chair. 6 CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Loescher. COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: You if know, 8 anyplace, where I personally object to this business 9 of spread of gambling is in this convenience store in 10 our neighborhoods where our families and our children 11 go, and the word moratorium, we defined that a hundred different ways last time, but it means a pause. 12 13 It doesn't mean cease, roll back or not 14 introduce. It's not clear why we have that word in 15 there. I'd rather take a harder position and advise 16 public policy makers that they should cease and roll 17 back and not start this business of convenience of gambling in our neighborhoods. 18 19 So, I have a hard time with that word moratorium. 20 21 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Т think 22 Commissioner Loescher makes a good point, and I would

support his point. COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Give us language. You'll get support on that, too. COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Cease, stop, roll back. CHAIR JAMES: Can I ask staff if they could -- we'll table that one for a minute. can draft that and, as soon as it's done, bring it up to the table and I'll bring it back up again. Then we're up to 3.12. COMMISSIONER This LANNI: 12 collaborative effort. John wrote it and I'm reading it. (Laughter.) 14 "The Commission recommends to the state 16 and tribal governments, the NCAA and other 17 and collegiate athletic organizations school because sports gambling is popular among adolescents 18 and may act as a gateway to other forms of gambling, such organizations and governments should educational and prevention programs to help the public

recognize the fact that almost all sports gambling is

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

15

19

20

21

22

fund

If you

is

1	illegal and can have serious consequences.
2	"The Commission recommends that this
3	effort should include public service announcements,
4	especially during tournament and bowl game coverage.
5	The Commission recommends that the NCAA and other
6	amateur sports governing bodies adopt regulatory codes
7	of" excuse me "mandatory codes of conduct
8	regarding sports gambling, education and prevention.
9	"The Commission also calls upon the NCAA
10	to organize America's research universities to apply
11	their resources to develop scientific research on
12	adolescent gambling, sports gambling and related
13	research."
14	I added one word, John. I added "to
15	develop" scientific. That's the only thing I added.
16	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Anything you like.
17	CHAIR JAMES: Would you like to offer that
18	as a motion?
19	COMMISSIONER LANNI: I'd offer the motion.
20	COMMISSIONER MOORE: I second that.
21	CHAIR JAMES: It's been moved and
22	seconded. Any discussion?
1	

COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Question. CHAIR JAMES: Call the question. All in favor? (Chorus of ayes.) Any opposed? The motion carries. 6 Okay, that brings us now to 3.15. skip any, please let me know. And 3.15 was -- that's 8 in the package. Turn to your yellow. 9 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: 3.15. Okay, 10 Commission recommends that each state adopt and then 11 follow enforceable advertising guidelines that avoids 12 explicit implicit appeals vulnerable or to 13 including populations, youth in low income neighborhoods." 14 15 And then this is added: "To affect 16 enforcement, we recommend that Congress amend the 17 Truth in Advertising laws to include Native American 18 gambling in state sponsored lotteries." 19 CHAIR JAMES: Could you read that last --20 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Essentially it's 21 only the last section. "To affect enforcement, we 22 recommend that Congress amend the Truth in Advertising

laws to include Native American gambling in state

sponsored lotteries." COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Since we have George here, how about states adopting advertising guidelines 5 and restrictions? 6 MR. TERWILLIGER: Т think it's I mean, the language, as it reads now problematic. 8 in the first part -- I don't know what an enforceable 9 -- I'm not sure what an enforceable quideline is. 10 Guidelines seem to be something different 11 regulations or standards perhaps. 12 But restrictions on commercial speech In addition to 13 enacted by states are problematic. 14 that, for what it's worth, since you asked me, Bill, I 15 think that the standard that is in the draft here that 16 avoids explicit or implicit appeals to vulnerable 17 populations -- we're not writing laws obviously, we're writing suggestions for types of laws. 18 19 But that type of standard would, frankly, be a lawyer's dream in terms of litigating what those 20 21 terms mean. 22 So I think it might be helpful to just

make clear that, consistent with both state and federal constitutional principles and with language that accomplishes the purpose, the Commission recommends.

Because I'd hate to see you be criticized for what the Commission may consider a good idea that has some great legal vulnerabilities.

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Madame Chair, we seem to have switched tracks here. This started out, I think correctly, as an effort to get gambling operations to voluntarily adopt, and then to follow, advertising guidelines.

And the suggestion in the original form was that within those voluntary codes of advertising conduct which originally was thought to be aimed at state lotteries adopting such things and tribal governments adopting such things and casinos and etc., within them, the idea was there should be some kind of enforcement mechanism.

Now, this new version, if I'm reading it right, appears to suggest, although it's not overly clear, that somehow the state is going to adopt these

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

things and everybody else is going to be forced to abide by them.

Well, that doesn't have a prayer of surviving, legally speaking. I think we ought to go back to the concept that was contained in the original 3.15. And I thought that all we were going to do was tinker with the definition of the types of gambling operations that were included.

So I don't know how we got over to this.

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And yesterday, when we talked about this issue, I, at least, expressed some concern about voluntary guidelines being enforceable. I think I was persuaded, after listening to the discussion, that it can indeed be enforceable, and I think we ought to address this recommendation to industry trade associations such as the AGA.

In Nevada it would be the NRA. There's a similar group in --

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: NIGA.

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: -- the NIGA in tribal gaming and directors of lotteries to develop advertising guidelines and appropriate enforcement

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

mechanisms and implement them. 1 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I believe the states can only enforce something like this as against themselves, not anybody else. So the present form of 5 this thing doesn't make any sense to me. 6 CHAIR JAMES: Would you all like to work on that a little bit more? Who had that one? 8 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: It's ours. Well, 9 it's Leo's originally. 10 CHAIR JAMES: Leo? 11 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Madame Chair, this 12 language here was mine and an important phrase was 13 omitted from it. What I was attempting to apply this language to was state lottery sales. 14 15 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Well, it would make sense for that. 16 17 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And I think the second part of your recommendation is right on point 18 19 where you want to have the truth in advertising 20 restrictions applied to both tribal and lottery advertising, which has an exemption because of their 21 22 governmental nature.

1	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Is that
2	recommendation based on the assumption that the Truth
3	in Advertising laws already apply to commercial
4	gambling?
5	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: That would be the
6	case. Now, we asked a panel of advertisers to do a
7	legal brief for us as to whether or not you could make
8	those laws applicable to a governmentally operated
9	lottery, and I don't know as if we ever heard a reply.
10	Dr. Kelly, do you know?
11	CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Dobson.
12	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Madame Chair, would
13	the Commission give us an opportunity to take one more
14	run at this and come back?
15	CHAIR JAMES: Certainly. We'll pass on
16	that and go to 3.28.
17	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: You skipped over
18	CHAIR JAMES: 3.28 should be in the
19	package.
20	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Madame Chair, you
21	skipped over 3.24.
22	CHAIR JAMES: 3.24.

1	CHAIR JAMES: That one was deleted. That
2	was done.
3	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: It died.
4	COMMISSIONER LEONE: I think we couldn't
5	figure out a formulation.
6	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Actually, I'm not so
7	sure that one did die because I was asked was that
8	on your list, 3.24?
9	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: That's what I
10	thought.
11	CHAIR JAMES: I'm sorry, I'm looking at
12	3.34.
13	COMMISSIONER LANNI: 3.24 was adopted with
14	various changes.
15	CHAIR JAMES: Yeah, 3.24 was with one
16	year.
17	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: But you wanted some
18	language.
19	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Yeah, there was
20	supposed to be some language tinkering, wasn't there?
21	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: That's what I'm
22	talking about.

1	CHAIR JAMES: Did you do that?
2	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: That's what I'm
3	talking about.
4	CHAIR JAMES: Good.
5	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Now we're on point.
6	CHAIR JAMES: Now we're there.
7	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Okay, 3.24 would read
8	
9	COMMISSIONER LEONE: I wonder why Bill
10	took the time to focus on that?
11	(Laughter.)
12	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Call me strict at
13	competition. I want a ten year cooling off period for
14	everyone out there now.
15	COMMISSIONER LEONE: I think there's a ten
16	year rule about being on gambling committees.
17	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I was hoping it was
18	lifetime.
19	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: How about something
20	of this nature:
21	"The Commission recommends that federal,
22	state and tribal gambling regulators should be subject
	1

1	to a cooling off period that prevents them from
2	working for any gambling operation subject to their
3	jurisdiction for a period of one year.
4	"Federal, state or tribal lottery
5	employees" and I realize there are probably not any
6	federal or state lottery employees at this point or
7	tribal, excuse me "lottery employees should be
8	subject to a cooling off period that prevents them
9	from working for any supplier of lottery services for
10	a period of one year."
11	So you couldn't have migration from the
12	lottery industry to the people that run their lottery.
13	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: I second the
14	motion.
15	CHAIR JAMES: Jim, does that take care of
16	your concerns?
17	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: It's still one year,
18	which bothers me, but I will support it.
19	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Could you read the
20	second part again?
21	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Federal, state and
22	tribal lottery employees. Now, at this point, we only

1	have state lotteries.
2	COMMISSIONER LANNI: I understand.
3	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Should be subject to
4	a cooling off period that prevents them from working
5	for any supplier of lottery services for a period of
6	one year.
7	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Okay, well, in the
8	first instance, I think you limited it to people
9	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Under the
10	jurisdiction.
11	COMMISSIONER LANNI: under their
12	jurisdiction. And the second one you don't have a
13	limitation.
14	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Yeah, and I did that
15	deliberately because there's only two or three
16	suppliers out there and because the competitive nature
17	of the contracting situation.
18	COMMISSIONER LANNI: I'll move the
19	question.
20	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Second.
21	CHAIR JAMES: All in favor?
22	(Chorus of ayes.)

Any opposed? Bill, can you make sure that that language makes it over here? Bill, COMMISSIONER DOBSON: is this a 5 departure from what's typically happening now? 6 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: That's currently the case certainly in Nevada, certainly in New Jersey and Illinois and a number of other jurisdictions. 8 9 lottery area, that would probably be a departure. 10 CHAIR JAMES: Okay, yes, 3.28, moving on. 11 COMMISSIONER LEONE: There are a couple of 12 comments in this that I didn't put in it that should 13 come out, but I'll read it. Commission 14 "The recommends that jurisdictions considering the introduction of 15 new 16 forms of gambling or the significant expansion of operations should 17 existing gambling sponsor comprehensive gambling impact statements. 18 19 "Such analyses should be conducted by 20 qualified, independent research organizations 21 should encompass, insofar as possible, the economic,

social and regional effects of the proposed action."

1	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: So moved.
2	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Second.
3	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: What does significant
4	expansion mean?
5	COMMISSIONER LEONE: Well, I think that's
6	intended to that language was intended to be
7	practical since, in Nevada, a significant expansion
8	would be very different from what it would be in
9	Alaska, Hawaii or Utah.
10	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, let's use Dr.
11	Moore's state, Mississippi.
12	COMMISSIONER LEONE: So I didn't think
13	that we could quantify it. I didn't think we could
14	quantify it. I thought it would be something that
15	people on the ground could make a judgement about.
16	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: That being on the
17	record, I don't have a problem with the
18	recommendation.
19	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Second.
20	CHAIR JAMES: It has been seconded.
21	COMMISSIONER LANNI: I'll call the
22	question.

CHAIR JAMES: Madame Chairman, before you 2 do this, --CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Loescher. COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: You know, I work 5 in private endured the sector, and Ι have 6 environmental impact statements that are endless, endless in their trying to do them, multimillions of 8 dollars going into them. One in the Tongas National 9 Forest, we've been at it over ten years. 10 And this is a lawyer's paradise that we're 11 creating here. I just see that as a -- you know, some people might say you need have an outlook as to what 12 13 the impacts are going to be. You should be able to define them. 14 15 But this becomes a hold up. It becomes 16 It becomes -- you know, it takes a good idea delayed. 17 and just turns it into nothingness in time. And so I 18 struggle, you know, with the notion that this is a 19 good idea. 20 It's undefined, and that's the worst part 21 it, is use these words you great

comprehensive, you know, study,

22

and who knows

form that's going to take in time.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Anyway, I just struggle with this and I just think you're introducing something that's not going to be helpful in time.

extent, this is done currently where gambling is being proposed to introduce very hotly contested issues and the -- both the proponents and the opponents gather analyses and statistical data and impact type statements to present and justify their particular position.

So, to a large extent, this is done where communities are considering legalization. And if Richard is correct that the new forms of gambling or the significant expansion of existing gambling is interpreted by the people that are on the ground, they'll make the determination as to whether or not you need to have these comprehensive type statements.

CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Wilhelm.

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: With all due respect to Bob's point, my guess would be that -- I don't want to put words in anyone's mouth, but my

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

guess would be that part of the purpose of those who drafted or support this proposal is precisely to do what Bob said.

That is to say, to slow down the process.

And I don't think that's bad. I think, as a practical matter, what's happened is that very large casino developments are already, and I think properly, subjected to versions of this.

But many of the forms of gambling expansion that we've experienced in this country, particularly the smaller, and I think we've agreed as a Commission, potentially more pernicious forms, are not subjected to this kind of examination.

So, I would very much support this. I think this kind of call for a requirement that the expansion of gambling or the introduction of gambling be deliberate and studied and make sure there's a procedure that gives opportunity for battle I think is an excellent idea.

And I know that we'll cinch the votes here by -- if I repeat what Lieutenant Governor McCarthy just told me, which is that he says that the first

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

major state law that required the introduction of these environmental impact statements that you're expressing unhappiness about, Bob, was signed into law by Governor Ronald Reagan.

COMMISSIONER LEONE: On the other hand, Alaska could come to look quite like New Jersey, and I can't say I think that's a bad thing.

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: That's a low blow.

COMMISSIONER LEONE: Well, I'd just like to say two things. One is -- and I've spent a lot of time in the private sector and I still do on occasion, and our concern -- my concern in that role is making money and earning money for the shareholders.

We're not immoral people when we do that, but we consider things in terms of whether or not they will turn a profit. It is appropriate for society as a whole to ask us -- to force us, compel us, on occasion, to consider other things because that's a very narrow view of the pluses and minuses.

The second thing with regard to language, and this is true in many places in the report, it is inevitable that language will be argued about. You

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

know, Madison and Hamilton and Jay and Jefferson were maddeningly unspecific by modern terms, but we struggle with reinterpreting that language.

And I think we -- this is meant to be language that would evolve over time. And if there's anything there's a consensus about on this Commission, it is that the impact of gambling is more complex than it often seems in the arguments between the two extreme sides.

And in that context, I think this is a pretty straightforward recommendation and not a dangerous one.

CHAIR JAMES: I would just say it strikes me that, Bill, what you said is absolutely true; and that is, with any expansion of gambling, there generally is a hue and cry from both sides to bring their information to the table.

And that certainly has been the case nationally, which is why this Commission was formed, hopefully to provide some research analysis data. And so what strikes me as important about this particular recommendation is the phrase in there "by qualified,

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

_	
1	independent research organizations."
2	And if we could encourage that kind of
3	research to be done at the local level and that kind
4	of information gathered, if there's a Commission ten
5	years from now, they'll probably have a whole lot more
6	data to base their findings upon.
7	With that, Commissioner Dobson,
8	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Call for the
9	question.
10	CHAIR JAMES: Oh, okay. We're looking at
11	3.28.
12	All in favor?
13	(Chorus of ayes.)
14	All opposed?
15	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Nay.
16	CHAIR JAMES: Any abstentions?
17	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Madame Chair.
18	CHAIR JAMES: The ayes have it.
19	Yes?
20	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I have the two
21	pulled over issues when you're ready to return to
22	them.

1	CHAIR JAMES: Okay, we're ready to go to -
2	_
3	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Go back to 3.11.
4	CHAIR JAMES: Oh, to 3.11?
5	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Yeah.
6	CHAIR JAMES: Okay, let's go back to 3.11.
7	So we're back
8	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Okay, 3.11. I'll
9	read the portion that I think we agreed on and then
10	the new portion.
11	"The Commission received testimony that
12	convenience gambling such as electronic gambling
13	devices in neighborhood outlets provides fewer
14	
15	social cost by making gambling more available and
16	accessible.
17	"Therefore, the Commission recommends that
18	states" here's the new phrase "should not
19	authorize any further convenience gambling operations
20	and should cease and roll back existing operations."
21	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: So moved.
22	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Second.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

CHAIR JAMES: Wow, it has been moved and seconded. Are you ready for the question? All in favor? (Chorus of ayes.) All opposed? COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I'm going to vote no this. I don't think it needs this on 8 recommendation is overly strong to the states. 9 CHAIR JAMES: Any abstentions? 10 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I'll abstain. CHAIR JAMES: Mr. Lanni abstains. 11 12 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Okay, I have number 13 3.15. 14 CHAIR JAMES: Okay. 15 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: 3.15 now states, 16 "The Commission recommends that each gambling 17 operation, state lottery, tribal government and 18 associations of gambling organizations adopt, and then follow, enforceable advertising guidelines that avoid 19 20 explicit implicit appeals vulnerable or to including 21 populations, youth and low 22 neighborhoods.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

"Enforcement should include a mechanism for recognizing and addressing any citizen complaints that might arise regarding advertisements. To effect enforcement, we recommend that Congress amend the Federal Truth in Advertising laws to include Native American gambling and state sponsored lotteries." CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Bible. only COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I think the change I would make is in that preamble to the last sentence to --To effect COMMISSIONER DOBSON:

enforcement?

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I think you're talking about two different concepts. And if that is done, that, in effect, lets -- I think that's an additional recommendation that you're making. quidelines have the voluntary and enforcement mechanisms for those voluntary guidelines.

Then, in addition, you want to add the amendments to the Truth in Advertising law and apply those to lotteries and tribal gaming.

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: So just delete the

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	words "to effect enforcement."
2	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Yes, yes.
3	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Okay, just eliminate
4	three words then. And it reads, "we recommend that
5	Congress amend the Federal Truth in Lending."
6	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Or additionally
7	maybe. Additionally, comma, we recommend.
8	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I'd like to make
9	one other suggestion which I think would make it a
10	little broader, Jim, which is to put a period after
11	enforceable advertising guidelines, and then say,
12	"among other things, these guidelines should seek to
13	avoid explicit or implicit appeals," etc.
14	Because the guidelines presumably will
15	address issues beyond youth and low income
16	neighborhoods. It will probably address other kinds
17	of issues as well, I would think.
18	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Okay. Staff's got
19	that?
20	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chair.
21	CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Loescher.
22	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Just for the

1	record, I have a problem with that last sentence, you
2	know, asking Congress to amend the Truth in
3	Advertising laws. I don't know what Congress is going
4	to do. We haven't given much guidance as to what the
5	content of that might be.
6	The other thing is that if you're applying
7	this to states and tribal governments, I think there
8	is difficulty there, you know, dealing with that
9	subject. Lastly, the Native American tribes have done
10	an excellent job in their advertising across America.
11	And I haven't seen any evidence of their
12	abusing the public or any groups in their advertising,
13	and I think they've advanced quality product on the
14	public airwaves and wherever their displays are.
15	So anyway, I have great trouble with the
16	notion of encouraging Congress to amend the Truth in
17	Advertising laws, and I think it's not a good idea.
18	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Madame Chairman,
19	this is a motion.
20	CHAIR JAMES: Is there a second?
21	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Second.
22	CHAIR JAMES: It has been moved and

seconded. We are in the discussion phase. 1 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chair, I'd like to move to amend to delete the last sentence of the motion. 5 CHAIR JAMES: Is there a second? That motion dies. Then we go back to the original motion. 6 Are you ready for the question? 8 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And during the 9 discussion period, I think the record should be clear that we had requested information as to whether or not 10 11 Congress could restrict the speech 12 governmental entity such as a state or a tribal 13 entity. 14 We have not received that, so we may be on 15 a slippery slope. But if it can be done, I think it should be done. 16 CHAIR JAMES: And if we want to, we can 17 18 have the opportunity to review that when we get back 19 together just to do any final tweaking. 20 Okay, need to vote the we on 21 recommendation. 22 All in favor?

1	(Chorus of ayes.)
2	Any opposed? Any abstentions?
3	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chair, I'd
4	like for the record to show that I abstain on this
5	proposal.
6	CHAIR JAMES: The record will indicate
7	that.
8	Okay, that takes us up to
9	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: 3.29, I believe.
10	CHAIR JAMES: And I think that one is
11	yours, Dr. Dobson?
12	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: It is. Okay, this
13	is the rewrite of 3.29.
14	"The Commission recommends that gambling
15	'cruises to nowhere' should be prohibited unless the
16	state from which the cruise originates adopts
17	legislation specifically legalizing such cruises
18	consistent with existing law."
19	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: What does that last
20	phrase mean?
21	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Consistent with
22	existing law? I suppose the law of that particular

1	state.
2	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I agree with the
3	concept, but I don't know what that phrase means.
4	CHAIR JAMES: That if that state, I
5	suppose I don't know.
6	COMMISSIONER LANNI: It was the legal
7	question, I think.
8	CHAIR JAMES: What was the legal question?
9	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: The question
10	Steve reminds me. Yesterday was I think you made
11	the point or somebody did
12	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I asked.
13	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: about the ships
14	that were out to sea.
15	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Yeah, three mile
16	limit.
17	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Yeah, the three mile
18	limit.
19	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: What's the answer?
20	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: And it has to do
21	with where they're docked, does it not?
22	MR. TERWILLIGER: I don't think so. I

1 mean, I'm not sure the state can regulate what the ships do outside the three mile limit. COMMISSIONER BIBLE: No, but I suspect what a state can do is it can regulate the possession 5 of gaming devices and instrumentalities within its 6 borders. And so if you dock at Los Angeles and you've got a shipload of gaming devices, I can imagine 8 there's some kind of jurisdiction. 9 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Well, the other thing, historically, if you go back to the '40s, they 10 11 illegal gambling had ships off the 12 California, but they didn't allow those ships to come 13 into port because the state and the county had control 14 over that. 15 What they did is they used other, smaller vessels to take the people out. 16 17 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: To carry the people 18 out. 19 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Then what the city 20 and the county did is they wouldn't grant licenses to 21 those people to bring them out. Then they all went to 22 Las Vegas.

1	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And here we are
2	today.
3	(Laughter.)
4	CHAIR JAMES: Here we are.
5	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Just a little
6	history.
7	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, that's only a
8	part of our heritage.
9	CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Dobson.
10	(Laughter.)
11	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: May I ask permission
12	for Steve Reed, who is an attorney, to explain
13	CHAIR JAMES: Oh, sure, of course.
14	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: the position
15	here?
16	MR. REED: Commissioners, the purpose of
17	the language was simply to say
18	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: You need to use a
19	microphone or else your pearls will be lost for the
20	record.
21	MR. REED: I thought I was yelling.
22	The purpose of the language was simply to

say that the recommendation should be to adopt rules that are consistent with existing law. Not to adopt something that's going to violate what is already the law, but to craft something that will work within the restrictions under maritime law and whatever other regulations may grant the right of a ship to conduct gambling outside territorial limits.

COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chairman.

CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Loescher.

COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: I happen to come from a state that has many thousands of miles of coastline and one of the biggest states in the Union to have such. We believe that this business of controlling the ocean is a matter of federal law and not necessarily that of state law.

Within the three mile limit, the state governments and also our borough governments in our area have jurisdiction over domestic affairs. And we have experienced in the last number of years that, for instance, the Alaska delegation has enabled cruise ships which come to Alaska.

Many hundreds of them, and it's a major

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

enterprise for our state, have casino style business on their ships. But when they enter jurisdictions which do not support gambling, they are prevented from operating their machines and their areas for gambling, and that's right.

And so I really have trouble with this notion, you know, that we can recommend to govern the open seas, and I'm opposed to that.

CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Lanni.

COMMISSIONER LANNI: Bob, one thing that may give you a little more comfort, the comment "cruises to nowhere" would not affect any of the cruise lines that go into Alaska because they're not cruises to nowhere.

I think the reference to "cruises to nowhere" are ships that are purely gambling ships that are sent out from Miami and other areas -- Gloucester I think also. So it wouldn't affect -- this recommendation, even if it were adopted by the several states, it wouldn't affect any of these cruise lines.

COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chair, I accept the idea that the gentleman from Nevada

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

recognizes the State of Alaska is somewhere, so I --(laughter) -- will recede from my position. Thank you. (Laughter.) CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Moore. COMMISSIONER MOORE: It appears that all of us are against the cruises to nowhere. Why don't we just say the Commission recommends that gambling cruises to nowhere should be prohibited from any state If we just recommend it. I mean, we recommend it, so the states can do what they want to. And we don't believe that they should exist anyway. COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, I think there are cases where a state, if they wanted to authorize a cruise to nowhere, should be able to do it, the same as they can authorize river boat gambling in your particular state. The thing that bothers me about cruises to nowhere as they're currently constituted is a public

that are operating them have never been investigated.

It's totally unregulated.

policy reason.

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The people

1	There are no internal controls in the games.
2	Nobody knows it's like Internet gaming.
3	Now, if a state chose to regulate it and license the
4	activity and said you can go cruise around and come
5	back, I don't see why they shouldn't be able to do
6	that.
7	I mean, you have cruises to nowhere in
8	every one of your gaming facilities.
9	COMMISSIONER MOORE: No, we have them tied
10	down.
11	(Laughter.)
12	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: That's what I just
13	said. They go nowhere.
14	COMMISSIONER MOORE: We did have cruises
15	to nowhere before the
16	CHAIR JAMES: You locked them down.
17	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And now you have
18	river boat gambling that doesn't ever sail.
19	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Well, it comes down
20	to the cotton patches.
21	(Laughter.)
22	But anyway, I mean, we know that states
1	

1 can do whatever they want to, but we can recommend that they don't do it. Well, Bill, it seems to me CHAIR JAMES: that we ought to say what we need to say. And I think 5 you said it probably best just now, that our concern is -- this Commission's concern is that the cruises to 6 nowhere are largely, if not entirely, unregulated and 8 no -- very little monitoring. 9 And as a result of that, it is very 10 troublesome to us and we would suggest to states that 11 12 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: That would be the 13 public policy reason. But if a state chose -- for 14 instance, Mississippi chose to take one of those boats 15 that sit out in front of the Gulf, would they be able 16 to even do so and take it out three miles and bring it 17 back. They should be able to do that. 18 19 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: What this item is 20 obviously getting at is that this is occurring against the will of the state. 21 22 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Exactly.

1	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Exactly.
2	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: It's a motion.
3	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Why don't you read
4	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Call for the
5	question.
6	CHAIR JAMES: Would you read the motion as
7	it exists right now?
8	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: "The Commission
9	recommends that gambling 'cruises to nowhere' should
10	be prohibited unless the state from which the cruise
11	originates adopts legislation specifically legalizing
12	such cruises consistent with existing law."
13	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Yes, that's fine.
14	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Call for the
15	question.
16	CHAIR JAMES: All in favor?
17	(Chorus of ayes.)
18	Any opposed? Any abstentions?
19	Okay, who has the next one? I think
20	that's 3.47.
21	COMMISSIONER LEONE: These are in the
22	wrong order, if they're numbered properly. 3.44 was

the compact question. And actually I think the 1 compact's a good idea and such compacts, by their very nature, are voluntary between states, but have to be sanctioned by congressional action. This recommendation reads, "The commission 5 6 recommends that where significant gambling activities such as casinos are located within 50 miles of 8 state's border, the adjoining states agree 9 gambling compact. "Requiring congressional 10 approval, 11 compacts would be designed to address the issue of 12 competition between states for gambling revenues." 13 It's left general because obviously the 14 individual states and particular situations might 15 include very different items in the compact. 16 Are you offering that as a CHAIR JAMES: 17 motion? 18 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I am. 19 CHAIR JAMES: Is there a second? 20 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: There is a second. 21 CHAIR JAMES: There is a second. Any 22 discussion?

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Madame Chair. CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Wilhelm. WILHELM: COMMISSIONER Ι very much supported the recommendation about gambling 5 statements because I think that's an appropriate and 6 workable stumbling block and an appropriate stumbling block in the path of gambling development. 8 I oppose this one because I think that 9 it's not workable. The expert on state government to 10 my immediate left on the podium told me yesterday that 11 it is rare indeed for interstate compacts, in fact, to 12 be concluded and ratified, and that there are only a few examples extant. 13 14 recognize that Richard has explained 15 that this is voluntary, but I think that it's a cause 16 inappropriate mischief for and I would sharply 17 contrast it with the impact statements that I and the 18 Commission just supported. 19 I think that the reality is that states 20 would attempt to use this process as a way of getting 21 the upper hand as against each other. I can 22 imagine this kind of negotiation, for example, between

Mississippi and Alabama.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And if it is the case that these things are rarely adopted in any walk of life, then I think that there's no substance of reality to this particular proposal and, for that reason, I would oppose it.

COMMISSIONER LEONE: Well, let me defend

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And I would agree with your comment.

COMMISSIONER LEONE: Let me defend it, to this extent, and I -- obviously the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey exists by compact. And as a former chairman, I have some experience with how these compacts work in practice and, inevitably, a lot more knowledge of the history than probably I ever intended to have.

While the issues were very different, the original compact was designed to deal with competition over the river crossings, the local of ports. Later on it became the mechanism for dealing with competition about where the airports would be and who

would -- and for dealing with the bargaining that was going on between airlines to try and play one state off against another.

I think there are many transjurisdictional items in the gambling area which could be reasonably addressed by compact. I don't think it's a threatening notion. It is a big task to get a compact passed. It requires two state legislatures, two governors, the United States Congress.

And it seems unlikely to me that out of process would emerge an entity that considered a good idea by the people involved. don't quess Ι see this as an earth shaking recommendation either way.

CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Lanni.

COMMISSIONER LANNI: I think if you take a look at the Port Authority agreements in New York and New Jersey, there's a substantial difference. Because there it was an issue of which ports would people use.

Would they use the ones in New Jersey or would they use the ones in New York? If you're looking at a compact, let's just say that -- look at

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Lake Tahoe where there are casinos in California and the other side where there aren't casinos.

There's not the option for a person to go to a casino in California or a casino in Nevada. The issue is California may want to block Nevada from doing something because it's close enough to the border.

I think it's absolutely impractical. As a great believer in states' rights, I think it's an infringement upon states' rights. And I don't think that the analogy -- albeit as effectively as Richard ran that organization, I don't think the analogy is correct or really comports with what we're talking about here.

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And I think you just pointed out the example where typically you have compacts where there's bistate waterways and they're for management purposes.

The Tahoe Basin, which has a set of environmental controls by compact, does restrict gaming growth within the basin by virtue of a side agreement that Nevada entered into, and I think it's

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	been a fairly effective mechanism.
2	But I think what you propose here is just
3	simply unworkable.
4	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Madame Chair.
5	CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Moore.
6	COMMISSIONER MOORE: I'm going to have to
7	be against my friend's recommendation because, after
8	this Commission has talked about
9	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I'm sorry, Paul, I
10	can't hear you.
11	COMMISSIONER MOORE: After this Commission
12	has talked about our sister state, Louisiana, so much,
13	we certainly wouldn't want to share anything with
14	them.
15	(Laughter.)
16	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, they'd let you
17	do what you want. There would just be a price.
18	(Laughter.)
19	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chair.
20	CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Loescher.
21	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chair, I
22	have worked real hard not to be cynical, you know, as
- 1	

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	I have been with you all this last couple of years,
2	but this plague is something that the Indians don't
3	want to pass on to you folks.
4	(Laughter.)
5	CHAIR JAMES: This compacting thing?
6	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: This compacting
7	thing is a difficult matter. And we believe in
8	states' rights and sovereignty, and I'm going to vote
9	against this.
10	COMMISSIONER LANNI: I call the question.
11	CHAIR JAMES: The question has been
12	called.
13	All in favor?
14	(Chorus of ayes.)
15	All opposed?
16	(Chorus of no's.)
17	Any abstentions?
18	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: That was close.
19	CHAIR JAMES: The Chair abstains.
20	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Do we need a roll
21	call?
22	CHAIR JAMES: Do you need a roll call on

1	that?
2	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Yeah.
3	CHAIR JAMES: No, I don't think so.
4	Okay, number 3.47.
5	COMMISSIONER LEONE: I had this one, too.
6	This is about another a more
7	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Boy, you got all the
8	tough assignments.
9	COMMISSIONER LEONE: even touchier
10	subject, campaign fundraising. I actually hosted a
11	lunch last week for my good friend, Jim Leach, and I
12	said to the audience, by way of introduction, that
13	this was an extremely rare event because of the two
14	things that were happening at once: the lunch was
15	free and there was a member of the United States
16	Congress present speaking.
17	I view this recommendation in the context
18	of the campaign fundraising activity.
19	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I'm sorry, I didn't
20	hear you.
21	COMMISSIONER LEONE: "The Commission
22	recognizes that there is an inherent conflict between

1 the independence that we demand of governmental regulators regulators government and the fundraising that we permit by political candidates. "While this dilemma exists with regard to 5 many regulated industries, our charge is to examine 6 only one: legalized gambling. The Commissioner therefore recommends that states prohibit political 8 campaign contributions by gambling license holders." 9 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Can I ask you a few 10 questions? Let's talk about gaming license holders. 11 Now, do you mean the corporate entity? No corporate 12 case? 13 COMMISSIONER LEONE: Well, I think it 14 should probably read individuals and --15 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And entities. So if don't hold a license, you, 16 you in effect, 17 contribute to the process. COMMISSIONER LEONE: Well, individuals are 18 19 licensed, a lot of them, aren't they, in the business? 20 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, in some cases 21 they are, and a lot of cases they are not. New Jersey 22 has a different level of licensure than, say, Nevada,

1	than Mississippi, than any other state. I'm just
2	trying to figure out where all the
3	COMMISSIONER LEONE: Well, I welcome
4	language that would
5	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: No, I'm not
6	suggesting language because I don't think you can do
7	it. I'm just trying to develop all the loopholes in
8	your proposal.
9	This thing is so big, you could shoot a
10	cannon through it.
11	COMMISSIONER LEONE: Well, do you think
12	there should be any restrictions?
13	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: No, I do not. I do
14	not believe you should disenfranchise that sector of
15	our economy, and I don't believe you could craft
16	language that would do it any more than you could
17	restrict the auto makers in Michigan or the oil
18	drillers in Texas or any other state that has these
19	kind of industries.
20	CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Lanni.
21	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I'm done.
22	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Richard, in reading

this -- I'm trying to understand this. If you read it, it sounds as though the fundraising would be fundraising on behalf of the government regulators because I think what you're talking about is fundraising for political candidates. COMMISSIONER LEONE: Right. COMMISSIONER LANNI: Because I think it's a little --COMMISSIONER LEONE: Well, it said political campaign contributions. COMMISSIONER LANNI: No, I know. But I mean, when you talk about the Commission recognizes there's an inherent conflict between the independence that demand of governmental government we regulators and the fundraising that we permit by -shouldn't it be permit for political candidates? COMMISSIONER LEONE: Well, I think they do their own fundraising.

COMMISSIONER LANNI: Well, they do, but I read this as -- what I read here though is that there's a tie in between the regulators and fundraisers.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	COMMISSIONER LEONE: Oh, I think there's a
2	tie in between political office holders and
3	regulators. They're normally appointed by people
4	elected to office.
5	COMMISSIONER LANNI: And a second question
6	though I have, is this related to city, county and
7	state?
8	COMMISSIONER LEONE: I'd be happy to
9	include that. That's a good, constructive suggestion.
10	And federal, I'd add, too.
11	COMMISSIONER LANNI: And I happen to
12	support this. Well, if you have federal, I will not
13	support it.
14	COMMISSIONER LEONE: Okay.
15	COMMISSIONER LANNI: But if it's city,
16	county and state
17	COMMISSIONER LEONE: City, county and
18	state is what I had .
19	COMMISSIONER LANNI: officials, and I
20	guess county is appropriate. I guess they're all
21	counties. Oh, they've got boroughs I think in Alaska.
22	COMMISSIONER LEONE: Let me just say

something in response to Bill. I know that we sat down different rules for different kinds of activities in different groups. And I think -- I would argue that anybody in the gambling industry should be Caesar's wife on these kinds of issues.

I think it's good for the industry's reputation to bend over backwards to appear not to be -- would be good not to be buying their way into the business or into licenses. I don't expect people to behave that way by and large, but some do.

And frankly, in New Jersey, while it is true that many people like lawyers and bankers and others who have strong relationships with casino industry have -- are big contributors and attempt to influence political candidates and office holders in ways that are favorable to the industry, I think it has generally been atonic that the industry itself and its employees cannot contribute to state campaigns.

And I don't think it's been harmed because of that.

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: But at least from my perspective, I would globally support forms of

campaign finance reform that would restrict other areas of activity and provide some fairly severe limitations on campaign contributions. I don't think it's reasonable or fair to take one industry and restrict them from access to the political process where other industries individuals would through political have access contributions. Globally, if it applies to everybody, I think I'd be on board. And, of course, that's not within the scope of this Commission. COMMISSIONER LANNI: Comment.

CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Lanni.

COMMISSIONER LANNI: The language as written I cannot support. However, philosophically, I do support the restrictions. So if we were to adopt the New Jersey language, and I don't have it here, that would be acceptable.

I am bothered when we say this Commission recognizes inherent conflict between the an independence that demand of governmental we I don't look at the fact that we have an regulators.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

and

inherent conflict automatically. 1 think that for But Ι purposes of cosmetics, if nothing else, there should be this So you may not need my vote, but you limitation. 5 would have it if you would propose the New Jersey 6 limitation. COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, I would be happy to work -- to delay this and work with Terry on 8 9 language. 10 CHAIR JAMES: Why don't we table that one 11 and move on. 12 My suggestion is going to be that if we can limit it to only one or two carry over items for 13 our next meeting, that that would be -- and this one, 14 15 I think, is sufficiently important that we look at it 16 and figure out a way that we can perhaps do that. 17 So that one has been tabled. Let me exert a little bit of discipline 18 19 here and go back and remember that what we need to do 20 is to read the motion, see if there is a support for 21 it, and then we will move to the discussion.

we've

been

what

Because

22

doing

is

discussing them before the motion has been made. 1 So we are now up to 3.50. Did I skip any? COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Yeah, that's 3.10 and 3.50. CHAIR JAMES: Okay. I skipped 3.49. 6 That has your name by it, Tim. Is that something you were working on? Incorporate into --8 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: We handled that. 9 CHAIR JAMES: 4.1, 4.2 we moved over to 10 4.15 is the next one I have. 11 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And I was asked to 12 take a look at this one. This one would have created 13 a monitoring type situation for states' efforts to 14 implement what would be called the McCarthy-Lanni plan 15 for treatment of problem and pathological gamblers. 16 I talked with Leo this morning and it 17 would seem appropriate to me that that be moved into 18 entity within research so that we have some 19 Federal Government to examine states' implementation 20 of those programs and make a report to the Congress as 21 to how they're doing. 22

COMMISSIONER LANNI:

I think, for the

1 record, that's the McCarthy plan. I was only part of the truth squad. (Laughter.) COMMISSIONER BIBLE: That's a full time 5 job. 6 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: I'm going to let that abuse roll off my back. 8 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Gracious in 9 victory, Leo. 10 CHAIR JAMES: Okay, well then we'll move 11 that one over there. That takes us from 4.15 to 4.19. 12 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Madame Chair, 13 under number one, this is what we were asked to do: 14 Under number one, at the end of that, I added a 15 sentence and, unfortunately, omitted a phrase. The 16 I'm referring to is, "An estimate of sentence 17 prevalence in each form of gambling should also be 18 included." 19 What I meant to include and did not was an 20 estimate of prevalence among patrons at gambling facilities or outlets in each form of gambling should 21 22 also be included. It's fairly straightforward kind of

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	language that states what was intended.
2	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Whereabouts are you,
3	Leo?
4	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Say again.
5	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: We're on 4.19.
6	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Okay.
7	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Number one, end of
8	the paragraph.
9	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Specific focus on
10	major subpopulations including youth, women, elderly
11	and minorities?
12	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: The last sentence.
13	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Are you looking at
14	today's version?
15	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: No.
16	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: There was one
17	passed out in this yellow packet today.
18	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Okay.
19	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: The sentence to be
20	added at the end of number one should read, "An
21	estimate of prevalence among patrons at gambling
22	facilities or outlets in each form of gambling should

1	also be included."
2	COMMISSIONER LANNI: And that's the only
3	change?
4	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: That's the only
5	change.
6	CHAIR JAMES: Could you read it one more
7	time for the record?
8	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: "An estimate of
9	prevalence among patrons at gambling facilities or
10	outlets in each form of gambling should also be
11	included."
12	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: That is a motion,
13	right?
14	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: That is my motion.
15	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: And I second it.
16	CHAIR JAMES: It has been moved and
17	seconded. Is there any discussion?
18	Commissioner Wilhelm.
19	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Not on that
20	particular point. I apologize to Leo and my fellow
21	commissioners. I overlooked a point yesterday which
22	I'd like to address, which is in subparagraph two.

The middle sentence seems to me to be unduly limiting. 1 The middle sentence of two says, purpose of such programs will be to intercept the progression of many problem gamblers to pathological 5 states." I support that, but I think the sentence 6 should be stricken. And the reason for that is it seems to me 8 that the purpose of programs that would be initiated for public awareness education and prevention would be 9 10 would include, but not be limited to, 11 problem progression of gamblers to pathological 12 states. 13 I assume it's also -- these programs are 14 also designed to prevent people from becoming problem 15 gamblers in the first place, for example? 16 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: COMMISSIONER WILHELM: So I would propose 17 18 that we strike that sentence and leave the rest of the 19 paragraph. 20 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: How about one 21 purpose of such programs will be? 22 Well, what's -- it COMMISSIONER WILHELM:

strikes me as not logical to single out that purpose.

Just as an example, if you just look at the numbers of -- and I'm not suggesting we shouldn't try to prevent problem gambling from becoming pathological.

But if you look at the number of people in the country, it seems to me an equally and perhaps, even arguably, more important goal --

COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: I really have no problem with what John is suggesting here. I thought that was the most important reason why we should try to have early intervention and some prevention effort.

Because if you can get them before they self destruct, then it's a lot less costly to everyone. But that's fine. I have no problem. That will be understood to be implicit -- one of the implicit purposes.

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: But I think that's an important concept though is that you want to intercept these individuals that may progress to an area where they have more difficulty in dealing with gambling responsibly.

I would tend to support your original

1	language. And it may be one such purpose of the
2	program is to intercept the progression of those
3	problem gamblers that may progress to pathological
4	status.
5	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Well, if you want
6	to say one such purpose, I guess I don't have a big
7	problem with that. It just seems to me that it's
8	equally important to prevent people who don't have a
9	gambling problem from developing one, particularly as
10	gambling expands.
11	I suspect that there are more people
12	it's probably an unfortunate phrase given the history
13	of it here. But there are probably more people at
14	risk of becoming problem gamblers numerically than
15	there are people who are at risk of going from problem
16	to pathological.
17	CHAIR JAMES: Well, do we have any issue
18	if we just put "one such?"
19	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: It's okay with me.
20	CHAIR JAMES: One such purpose.
21	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: I have none, and I
22	would ask for an aye vote.

1	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Yeah, that's okay.
2	CHAIR JAMES: You ask for it?
3	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: A yes vote on the
4	whole thing.
5	CHAIR JAMES: All right.
6	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: He's moving the
7	question.
8	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Second.
9	CHAIR JAMES: All in favor?
10	(Chorus of ayes.)
11	Any opposed? The motion carries.
12	Okay, we're not at 5.4.
13	I think that's yours, Bill.
14	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Oh, I don't believe
15	so. I did not have that on my list from yesterday.
16	CHAIR JAMES: It said Bill will polish.
17	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I will put that on my
18	list and I'll send it on to staff. Yeah, I disavowed
19	any knowledge of this one because this is the brain
20	wave recommendation.
21	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: He didn't brain
22	wave.

CHAIR JAMES: We're not going to mention that again today.

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I'll take a look at this one.

CHAIR JAMES: Thanks. And that brings us to 6.20. I have that one. Let me read the language as it exists right now. Why don't you go ahead and pass that out? This is the language that was worked on for that one.

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Hey, don't you guys have computers? You know, that's pretty --

CHAIR JAMES: "In light of the unwillingness of the National Indian Gaming Commission individual and certain tribes to supply tribal gambling information considered proprietary, and the detrimental effect this non-cooperation had upon our ability to assess the impact of gambling on these tribes, the Commission recommends to the Congress that (1) all federal agencies responsible for collecting and maintaining information tribal gambling, on including the NIGC and the BIA, cooperate fully with future federal research efforts;

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

"And that (2), the creation of a federal 2 commission involving state and tribal representation 3 with full investigatory power, including subpoena power, be considered to examine these impacts. 5 "Further, the Commission recommends to the 6 President and to the Congress that the U.S. Department of Interior be directed to report annually on the 8 social and economic impacts of gambling on Native 9 American tribes, including an assessment of the impact 10 these activities on federal assistance to 11 tribes." 12 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Madame Chair, 13 after two there you've got federal twice. Creation of 14 a federal commission involving federal, state and 15 tribal representation. Can that be --16 CHAIR JAMES: That should be a national 17 commission. COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Madame Chair. 18 19 CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Wilhelm. COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I understand where 20 21 this is -- I just wanted to wait until Commissioner 22 Loescher -- I'll withdraw my point at the moment.

1	CHAIR JAMES: Well, let's first of all,
2	I have offered it as a motion. Is there a second?
3	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Second.
4	CHAIR JAMES: There is a second. Then
5	we're ready for discussion.
6	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Madame Chair, may I
7	resume?
8	CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Wilhelm.
9	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Thank you.
10	Reading this for the first time, I am
11	frankly undecided whether I could support it.
12	CHAIR JAMES: Can I make an amendment?
13	Let me do a couple of tweaking things here
14	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Yeah.
15	CHAIR JAMES: that may get us to a
16	point where I am, in my own, troubled by the word
17	unwillingness. And I realize that there are some
18	sovereignty issues here that may that, from their
19	perspective, make it impossible for them to provide
20	that information and it really is a legal question.
21	And so I am even struggling with how to
22	phrase that so that

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I have a suggestion.

CHAIR JAMES: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WILHELM: And I'd like to preface my suggestion, if I may, because I know that there's a certain amount of emotion within the Commission on this. But I really hope that we can deal with this appropriately, and I do agree that it should be dealt with and divorce ourselves from some of the more emotional exchanges that have taken place.

I feel qualified to urge my fellow commissioners to do that because I'm the only one of us -- as far as my memory serves, I'm the only one of us whose removal was called for by the National Indian Gaming Association from this Commission.

And I, of course, didn't take too kindly But that was something that was done by a to that. trade association. And trade associations, as this Commission knows, say all sorts of things. More to the point, the Native American community has been ably represented this Commission bу Commissioner on Loescher.

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And I believe, and I think Dr. Moore would join me in this sentiment, I believe that Commissioner Loescher has done an extraordinary job in trying to work through with a very diverse constituency all of the issues that have come before the subcommittee and the full Commission, and I think really has made an extraordinary contribution in helping us find to recommendations this extraordinarily on complex subject that were supported yesterday by either unanimously supported or supported by the great majority of the commissioners.

No small task. It would not have been accomplished without the work of Commissioner Loescher and many people in the tribal gaming community who worked with him.

For that reason, I think we can accomplish the goal here without this introductory verbiage, even though I fully understand where the introductory verbiage comes from. So I would like simply to suggest that we delete the first one, two, three, four, five, six lines of this and just simply start at the end of the sixth line, "The Commission recommends

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to the Congress that," and then go through the recommendations.

And the other suggestion that I would make is that, at the end, where we say, "Further, the Commission recommends to the President and the Congress that the U.S. Department of Interior be directed to report annually on the social and economic impacts of Native American gambling," period.

And I make that suggestion for two reasons. One, I believe that the impact of Native American gambling is broader than the impact simply on the tribes. And secondly, I think the reference to federal assistance is inappropriate.

I don't think the issue is inappropriate to be examined, but I think it's inappropriate in a recommendation of this kind to single out one particular issue for spotlighting.

CHAIR JAMES: Let me see how that reads at this point. It would start, "The Commission recommends to the Congress that all federal agencies responsible for collecting and maintaining information on tribal gambling, including the NIGC and the BIA,

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	fully cooperate with future federal research efforts
2	and that the creation of a national commission
3	involving federal, state and tribal representation
4	with fully investigatory powers, including subpoena
5	power, be considered to examine these impacts.
6	"Further, the Commission recommends to the
7	President and the Congress that the U.S. Department of
8	Interior be directed to report annually on the social
9	and economic impacts of gambling on Native American"
10	we have gambling twice.
11	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Well, my
12	suggestion, and I don't know if you're interested in
13	it, but my suggestion was to say to report annually on
14	the social and economic impacts of Native American
15	gambling, period.
16	CHAIR JAMES: Native American gambling,
17	period?
18	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Well, that was my
19	suggestion.
20	CHAIR JAMES: Bob, do you have any edits
21	you'd like to make to that?
22	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: I'd like to see

1 you recede from this document totally. Madame Chair, I have a hard time with all of this given the history that we have. And you know, if you want to go through it, I guess we can, if 5 that's what we're here for. 6 CHAIR JAMES: Well, let's not do that because I think we're all familiar with that. Is 8 there anything in here that you could edit or change 9 or support? If not, we'll just call for the vote and 10 let it go up or down. 11 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Wait a minute, 12 wait minute. We're going to have long 13 conversation before you do that. 14 CHAIR JAMES: Okay. 15 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chair, you 16 know, the business of unwillingness, I agree that's 17 the wrong word. 18 CHAIR JAMES: That's gone. 19 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: It's gone? CHAIR JAMES: So we don't need to do that. 20 21 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Well, what's left? 22 CHAIR JAMES: We start with "the

Commission recommends." 1 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Where? CHAIR JAMES: The first six lines are It starts with "the Commission." gone. 5 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chair, is 6 the language of federal commission involving federal, state and tribal representation, is that still 8 there? 9 CHAIR JAMES: It is. It creates а 10 national commission. 11 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madame Chair, 12 wouldn't wish that plaque on anybody. 13 going to have a Ιf we are national 14 commission for gambling, it should be for all gambling 15 in America, not just Native Americans. And if you 16 wish to expand the amount of commission oversight on 17 Native Americans, maybe we ought to consider it for everybody. 18 19 But to single out Native Americans for 20 this plague is beyond me, and I really object that you would encroach upon the sovereignty of tribes, tribal 21 22 governments, and their relationship with the U.S.

Congress under the U.S. Constitution. 1 JAMES: Bob, CHAIR may Ι make suggestion? COMMISSIONER I find it LOESCHER: 5 objectionable. 6 CHAIR JAMES: May I make a suggestion? COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: I think the intent here was 8 CHAIR JAMES: 9 to somehow express in the document the concern, dismay 10 that the Commission had for their inability to receive 11 As a result of that, we were trying to information. 12 find some language that would express that. It does of 13 necessarily, if it's not the will not the Commission, have to be a recommendation. 14 It can be, 15 and should be I think, expressed in the body of the 16 document itself. 17 Bill? Yeah. 18 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I was just 19 going that instead of making to suggest 20 recommendation, because the recommendation at least 21 would appear to be -- to the casual reader to be

## NEAL R. GROSS

somewhat punitive in an area that is unsettled because

we talked about this yesterday.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Their refusal to release the information was predicated upon their understanding of the law, and so it would have been a question of law that would have been resolved through the court system.

That's --

CHAIR JAMES: That is --

COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Let me just finish.

Indicated that at least in his opinion we had the right to assert our right to that information, would have obtained it. They took the opposite position that they were not going to provide it because they had confidentiality statutes.

I would suggest you take this section and make a finding, and essentially the finding would be that our work was slowed down, wasn't as effective as it could be, something of that nature, because we are unable to obtain the information necessary to make a thorough analysis of this area because the -- primarily the National Indian Gaming Commission has asserted that their statutes would not allow them to provide the information to us, or something of that

1	nature. But I think it has to be a finding instead of
2	a recommendation because I think the procedure is
3	being punitive.
4	CHAIR JAMES: I would concur with that.
5	And as a result of that, their withdrawal with the
6	second of that particular motion, and would ask that
7	the subcommittee look at language that could be
8	included in that chapter that would capture the sense
9	of the Commission.
10	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: which subcommittee?
11	CHAIR JAMES: The Native American
12	Subcommittee.
13	(Laughter.)
14	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madam Chair, that
15	is not fair.
16	(Laughter.)
17	CHAIR JAMES: You don't like it, you fix
18	it, Bob?
19	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I thought we were
20	going to get done on June 18.
21	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: What do you mean
22	it's not fair? I just went to bat for you.

1	(Laughter.)
2	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Okay. Well, we'll
3	do something.
4	CHAIR JAMES: And that you would work on
5	language that could be put in the
6	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Yeah. And
7	somewhat in the tone of Commissioner Bible's?
8	CHAIR JAMES: Exactly.
9	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Or the chair could
11	give that task to Mr. Bible and Dr. Dobson, if you'd
12	prefer.
13	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: No, no.
14	(Laughter.)
15	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Why don't you give me
16	something and I'll work on it while
17	(Laughter.)
18	COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Okay.
19	CHAIR JAMES: And I apologize for seeming
20	to rush, but I know that we're going to begin to lose
21	Commissioners and I really do want to bring to make
22	sure that we have the opportunity to get through a

variety of these. And I assure you, it's not the issues, but just an ability to make sure that all of the Commissioners get a chance to fully participate.

COMMISSIONER MOORE: Madam Chair?

CHAIR JAMES: Commissioner Moore?

COMMISSIONER MOORE: It would be difficult right now for me to decide whether I'd vote for this or not. But I am with --

CHAIR JAMES: It has been --

COMMISSIONER MOORE: I know. with the spirit of Commissioner James on this, being Chairman of the Indian Subcommittee. Even though they were very cooperative with us and supplied a lot of information that went in our subcommittee report, I am one of those who believes sometimes that you don't always hide behind the law.

You know, I believe that there's a moral and a legal right, and I believe that they did hide behind the law in certain places. But I'm very glad that perhaps this motion -- this recommendation was withdrawn, and I'll be happy to -- as the Chairman of this Subcommittee -- to work with my brother, Mr.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Loescher, and Mr. Wilhelm, to draw up my cousin,
2	Mr. Wilhelm.
3	(Laughter.)
4	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: A lot of related
5	parties in that transaction.
6	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I'm not sure which
7	one of those each of us would prefer, Bob.
8	COMMISSIONER MOORE: To work on this. But
9	I would like to say that there were times that it was
10	very confusing.
11	CHAIR JAMES: Okay. 6.21 was a staff
12	signed one.
13	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KELLY: Madam Chair,
14	that was to determine whether they had previously
15	covered was this previously covered as 6.2, but I
16	don't think we covered it adequately, so I believe it
17	needs to be addressed.
18	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: 6.21?
19	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KELLY: 6.21. If you
20	look at the last
21	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Madam Chair, it
22	would be my view, with respect to 6.21, that the
- 1	

recommendations adopted yesterday, taken as a whole, 1 adequately cover this subject. COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I don't think you can do it. COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I agree. CHAIR JAMES: Okay. 7.7 and 7.8 were tabled to the research discussion. That brings us to 8 7.9 that was handed out under the yellow sheets. 9 COMMISSIONER LANNI: So moved. 10 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Second. 11 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Wait, wait, wait, 12 wait. I haven't seen the language. 13 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: It's okay, Bill. You'll like it. 14 15 CHAIR JAMES: Because the easy availability of ATMs and credit machines encourages 16 some gamblers to wager more than they intended, the 17 Commission recommends that states, tribal governments, 18 19 and pari-mutuel facilities ban cash dispensing and 20 other devices activated by debt or credit cards from 21 immediate access in areas where gambling takes place. 22 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Debit. You said

1	debt.
2	CHAIR JAMES: Oh, debit. Sorry.
3	It has been moved. It has been seconded.
4	Discussion?
5	COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Who wrote this,
6	please?
7	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KELLY: Staff were
8	asked just to smooth out the language. None of the
9	content was changed, but we put a secondary phrase to
10	start the paragraph. It seemed to read better.
11	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Why don't we call
12	these credit card cash advance machines? That's what
13	they are.
14	CHAIR JAMES: Credit card advance
15	machines.
16	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Cash.
17	CHAIR JAMES: Cash. It has been moved.
18	Are we ready for the question?
19	COMMISSIONER LANNI: I'll call the
20	question.
21	CHAIR JAMES: All in favor?
22	(Ayes.)

All opposed? (No response.) The motion carries. Was there any other section? 7.22. 5 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Madam Chair, I 6 believe this was tabled for the purpose of allowing us to look at the materials which had been distributed 8 yesterday, which are referred to here. 9 And I certainly would support the thrust of this, but it seems to me that if we're going to 10 11 make a recommendation, in order for the reader of the 12 report to understand it, that we should actually 13 include what it is these things say rather 14 incorporating them by reference. But if we do that, I 15 would certainly support this. 16 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: So what you're 17 suggesting -- so your suggestion is we drop the 18 reference to AGA, plagiarize the material, and adopt 19 it. 20 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I'm always willing to give credit in footnotes. 21 22 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I'm with you.

1	CHAIR JAMES: And I'm not so sure that
2	can we work with that one a little bit, because I
3	think what needs to happen is that we pull out some of
4	that, include it in the body. I'm not sure you want
5	all of that in the recommendation.
6	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: That's probably
7	right.
8	CHAIR JAMES: And look at
9	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: As long as it's
10	here somewhere.
11	CHAIR JAMES: Yeah. And refer to it in a
12	footnote. With the permission of the Commission, I
13	will continue to work on that in the body of the
14	report.
15	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Because I think it's
16	important that we make a statement in the area, and I
17	think we need to stick with our policy decision not to
18	mention specific groups.
19	CHAIR JAMES: That's right. All right.
20	Well, we'll continue to work on 7.22. Was that it on
21	the tables?
22	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I didn't even ask

1	for our particular union to be named.
2	(Laughter.)
3	COMMISSIONER LANNI: I have one question.
4	COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I noticed that.
5	CHAIR JAMES: Yes. Commissioner Lanni?
6	COMMISSIONER LANNI: I have one question.
7	I have the note that there was that 6.98 was held.
8	I have no idea what it was because it was never
9	included.
10	COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I thought we had
11	dealt with it.
12	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Did we?
13	CHAIR JAMES: We did.
14	COMMISSIONER LANNI: We did. Okay. I
15	missed it, then. That was one of Jim's?
16	COMMISSIONER DOBSON: It was mine, and it
17	passed.
18	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Okay.
18 19	COMMISSIONER LANNI: Okay.  CHAIR JAMES: With that, then, does that
19	CHAIR JAMES: With that, then, does that

break. We'll reconvene at 10:45.